



procedural democracy; and the formalization of an individualistic dynamic for action on a societal level. On the basis of this three-component model, a methodological and analytical instrument was constructed to make it possible to examine the manifestations and signs of a complex, heterogeneous social framework. Undoubtedly, one of the major successes of this research is precisely its multidisciplinary focus and methodological rigour.

In theoretical and analytical terms, the model used to evaluate the data is another useful contribution to research into value systems and attitudes. The authors, starting from the premise that judgement systems should be conceived of as a network, manage to surpass the rigid pyramid schema which establish a sort of inverted scale whereby values are consistent and lasting while their expression (opinions) are unstable and specific. By using the logic of networks judgement systems are understood as a social code and, to that extent, as systems open to the conflictive, complex dynamic of reality. Therefore, it is possible to observe large and small transformations in evaluations, attitudes and opinions without their contradictory nature implying a lack of logical coherence in the perception of reality.

In the first essay, "Modernización" (Modernization), Yolanda Meyenberg explores her topic and the sense of change in Mexican

society, contrasting it with the attitudes of the people polled about the rules of the market economy, formal democracy and individualism in the formation and evaluation of social actions.

In "Coordinación social" (Social Coordination), Fernando Castaños delves into the relationship between change and social order in Mexico through four models of coordination: community, state, market and associations, each of which has specific dynamics and rules which structure the partici-

*The model used to  
evaluate the data is  
another useful  
contribution to  
research into value  
systems and  
attitudes.*

pants, define what is pertinent and legitimate and establish a series of rewards for playing by the rules of the game.

"La esfera de lo político" (The Political Sphere) looks at judgements and attitudes regarding the political system. The author emphasizes the contradictory perceptions about the functions of public insti-

tutions and the growing role played in the public's judgements by expectations of participation.

In "Cultura" (Culture), Julia Isabel Flores explores cultural change. Her analysis shows the paradox of tradition and modernity in Mexico and, more than pointing to ambiguities in the culture, speaks to irregular adaptation patterns according to class, identities, beliefs and traditions.

"Comunicación" (Communication), by Fernando Castaños, establishes the degrees of correlation between communications systems and the lexicons of different social groups. From there, he suggests the existence of a series of contradictions, tendencies and potential limits to people's changes in attitude. Particularly noteworthy is the point he makes about the need to see change as subject to informational and discussion processes, and how, therefore, it depends on the concurrence of multiple communications systems and on the growth in credibility, the discussion of ideas and their being taken on board by the public.

In the last essay, "Modernización y políticas públicas" (Modernization and Public Policy), Ulises Beltrán explores the basis for consensus or disagreement in the transformation of the Mexican state during the Salinas de Gortari administration. He collates this with an analytical index of modernization to establish just what correlation exists between the changes in the country and the assumption

of new values and attitudes as modern.

An important conclusion can be reached from the analyses and abundant statistics in each chapter: Mexicans of and in the 1990s have significantly changed their values and attitudes. They have, very simply, changed their way of perceiving themselves as active members of society. To this extent, while not all the changes have been made at the same pace, there is a tendency to take on the values and patterns of the so-called modernization as a key part of the ways [people] integrate themselves into society.

Mexico's so-called modernization can be evaluated on different levels: it is much more prevalent in the political sphere than in the economy; it can be seen in the plurality of codes available in society and in the complexity of personal codes; it is a constitutional part of the paradox of community practices combined with processes of individualization. In sum, it is a complex process for responding to end-of-century Mexican society's heterogeneity. Understanding this and the different ways of interpreting it are the undeniable merit of the authors of this research. ■

*Mario C. Constantino Toro*  
Professor and researcher at  
the Mexican Academic Center,  
Latin American Social  
Sciences Department



#### ON THE TRACKS OF MIGRATION AND COOPERATION